Case 1:08-cv-00697-AKH I	Document 1	Filed 01/07/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ALEXANDER HILI AND LINDA HILI		DOCKET NO.	
Plain	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO	ORT FORM")
		COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defen	ndants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
NOTICE OF ADOPTION			
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '🗹' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			

Plaintiffs, ALEXANDER HILI AND LINDA HILI, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)					
1.	✓ Plaintiff, ALEXAND	ER HILI (hereinafter the	"Injured Plaintiff"), is an indivi	dual and	
a citizen of N	New York residing at 60-17				
	(OR)				
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent		
	, and brings this clain	n in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of	•	

Ca	se 1:08-cv-00697-AKH Document	1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11		
3. residing at 60 Injured Plaint	-17 78th Street, Middle Village, NY 11	ne "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New York 379-, and has the following relationship to the		
injuica i ium	SPOUSE at all relevant times I ALEXANDER HILI, and brin	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff gs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff ALEXANDER HILI.		
		Other:		
4. Department of	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/14/2 of Sanitation as a Sanitation Worker at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for NYC		
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations		
======= ✓ The World		====================================		
Location(s) (a	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;		
From on or about 9/11/2001 until 9/14/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
	$\frac{1}{2}$ Hours per day, for $\frac{1}{2}$ days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the		
	bout until,	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total		
Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for		
	bout;	Approximately days total;		
	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
	his information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;				
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates a the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
□ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	\square EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:08-cv-00697-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has					
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.		
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:					
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		П	Other:		

Case 1:08-cv-00697-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 9/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Skin Rash Date of onset: 9/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages: \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity \checkmark Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined._

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 3, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Alexander Hili and Linda Hili

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 3, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ALEXANDER HILI (AND WIFE, LINDA HILI), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at $\mathbf{M}.$ Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP